

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/001,937	RALPH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Anu Ramana	3733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel D. Ryan.

(2) Anu Ramana.

**Date of Interview:** 3 August 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Under Non-Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 12:30 pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*17*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner contacted Applicants' Representative, Daniel D. Ryan, to discuss a minor amendment to claim 17 to clarify claim language. See attached Examiner's Amendment for details.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**EDUARDO C. ROBERT**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)